

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SD	CV 14-475 PA (DFMx)	Date	May 4, 2015
Title	TomTom Int'l, B.V. v. Broadcom Corp.			

Present: The Honorable	PERCY ANDERSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
------------------------	--

Stephen Montes Kerr

Not Reported

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None

None

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS - COURT ORDER

The Court is in receipt of the Deposition Designations, Objections, and Counter-Designations filed by defendant Broadcom Corporation (Docket No. 113) and plaintiff TomTom International, B.V. (Docket No. 117).

The parties shall re-file the Designation of Deposition Testimony according to the following requirements:

1. The objecting party shall quote testimony with any objections arranged in a table immediately following the quoted testimony. Objections should be organized **to track the page and line numbers of the deposition designations in sequence**. The objecting party should identify the specific page and line numbers to which objection is made, the ground of the objection, and a citation to Federal Rules of Evidence (**you may instead attach the deposition transcript and note objections in the margin**). The party offering the testimony may submit a brief one or two line response to the objection. The following is an example of the format required by the Court:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SD CV 14-475 PA (DFMx)	Date	May 4, 2015
Title	TomTom Int'l, B.V. v. Broadcom Corp.		

Deposition Designation	Objection & Response
<u>Plaintiff's Designation of Tom Jones (42:14-15, 22; 43:7-8, 17-25):</u> Page 42 14 Q. How many managers overall at Ruiz & Flint? 15 22 A. I do not know. Page 43 7 Q. (Continuing by Mr. Scotten) How many 8 partners does Ruiz & Flint have? 17 A. For a certainty, I do not know. 18 Q. (Continuing by Mr. Scotten) Do you know 19 Mr. Eugene Ruiz? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. What's his position at Ruiz & Flint? 22 A. I would speculate that he's a partner and 23 owner. 24 Q. Anything else? 25 A. Not -- that's just common sense. I mean	<u>Defendants' Objections:</u> Page 42:14-15, 22: Irrelevant, Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802.; Page 43:21-25: No foundation, Fed. R. Evid. 401. <u>Plaintiff's Response:</u> Mr. Rosner was the corporate representative for Ruiz & Flint.

2. Do not submit blanket or boilerplate objections - these will be disregarded and overruled.
3. Counter-designations are to be made only for completeness. Counter-designations are not a substitute for deposition testimony a party wishes to play in its case-in-chief.
4. Once the parties exchange counter-designations and objections to counter-designations, the parties shall combine the original designations and objections with the counter-designations and objections (and brief response to the objection from the party offering the counter-designation) into a single document formatted into a table as described above with the counter-designations printed in red and inserted either immediately preceding or following the associated original designation. The table should clearly identify the offering party and whether the offered testimony is a designation or counter-designation. The combined document with the table of designations, counter-designations, and their associated objections and responses shall be filed with the Court no later than 12:00 p.m. on May 8, 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	^{SD} CV 14-475 PA (DFMx)	Date	May 4, 2015
Title	TomTom Int'l, B.V. v. Broadcom Corp.		

IT IS SO ORDERED.